Lithium tantalate (LiTaO$_3$) and lithium niobate (LiNbO$_3$) are desirable materials for integrated-optic applications due to their nonlinear optical properties and large electro-optic and piezoelectric coefficients [1, 2]. These phases are isostructural with trigonal space group symmetry R3c [3] but are usually indexed based on a hexagonal unit cell. Bulk single-crystal properties are well known for these materials and their usefulness could be expanded by exploitation of unique features of thin films. In the waveguide second-harmonic generation (SHG) application, the use of non-linear optical thin-film waveguides appears to be a particularly attractive approach to generating blue or green laser light for optical storage applications. Large refractive index differences achievable with thin films can lead to tighter mode confinement, and thus higher second-harmonic conversion efficiency [4]. Due to its superior resistance to laser-induced optical damage LiTaO$_3$ may be a more attractive material than LiNbO$_3$, for thin film applications requiring high levels of laser power such as SHG [5]. Thin-film waveguides also offer advantages in available substrate size and cost. Furthermore, the thin-film approach gives the possibility of an alternate utility of the substrate. For example, an epitaxial thin film of LiTaO$_3$ in the desirable c-axis orientation has been reported on an epitaxial buffer layer of (111) MgO on a (111) GaAs substrate [6]. This epitaxial growth makes possible the development of an integrated optic device in which sources, detectors, electronics, and nonlinear optical waveguides may be produced on a single substrate [4]. In an effort to move closer to producing such a monolithic device, we have developed thin film deposition capabilities which allow multilayer thin-film epitaxial growth of LiTaO$_3$ (nonlinear optic device) deposited on MgO (buffer layer) deposited on Pt (conductive device) deposited on (0001) $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$ (single-crystal sapphire substrate).

Knowledge of the microstructure of thin films is important not only for understanding what deposition conditions provide optimum property films, but it is also important for being able to reproducibly manufacture these optimized films on a microelectronic device production line. Several techniques are applied for this microstructure characterization including scanning electron microscopy (SEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM), Rutherford backscattering spectroscopy (RBS), secondary ion mass spectroscopy (SIMS), micro Raman spectroscopy ($\mu$RS), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and X-ray diffraction (XRD). XRD is common to many laboratories, and for most thin film samples deposited on flat substrates the sample preparation and analysis is nondestructive. Conventional XRD methods (Bragg-Brentano, grazing incidence) can provide information regarding crystallinity, phase identification, and
qualitative assessment of planar orientation. Planar orientation can be quantitated using X-ray rocking curve analysis (omega scan). An enhancement of orientation understanding can be obtained if X-ray pole figure analysis is utilized. Specifically, in-plane orientation can be evaluated using the pole figure technique.

When thin films are deposited on single-crystal substrates, in-plane alignment is an important microstructural property. If the thin film in-plane alignment can be matched to a single crystallographic direction of the substrate, the film is said to be epitaxially grown. Quite often, films show alignment along one substrate crystallographic direction as well as 180 degrees rotated from this direction. Such films are said to be epitaxially grown but twinned. The degree of twinning can be measured by comparing the thin film azimuthal pole figure intensity data aligned with the substrate direction (A alignment) and 180 degrees from the substrate direction (B alignment). Thin films can also be observed to have random in-plane orientation which would indicate that a film has a fiber texture. It is possible for thin films to exhibit a combination of these in-plane textures. In the case of electro-optic thin films such as LiTaO$_3$ deposited on $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$, it is important for the LiTaO$_3$ to be aligned with the substrate to maximize light propagation.

In this paper, we report on the preparation of LiTaO$_3$/MgO/Pt deposited on (0001) planar oriented sapphire and the characterization of this multilayer sample using X-ray diffraction techniques.

**Experimental**

Sapphire (Union Carbide) single crystal wafers with (0001) orientation were utilized as the substrate for all heteroepitaxial structures in this study. High purity Pt (Johnson Matthey) was deposited on sapphire using electron-beam (e-beam) evaporation. The deposition temperature was 500°C, vacuum was 3 x 10$^{-8}$ torr, and the deposition rate was 0.5-1.5 Å/s. The final platinum film thickness was ~400 Å. High purity MgO (Aldrich) was then deposited using e-beam evaporation under the same conditions as the initial Pt film with a final MgO film thickness of ~5000 Å. A layer of LiTaO$_3$ with a thickness of ~2500 Å was deposited on the MgO using laser ablation at a deposition temperature of 650°C. The laser beam was focused onto a target material comprised of polycrystalline LiTaO$_3$ (Aldrich) with some additional (5 wt.%) polycrystalline Li$_2$O (Aldrich). The laser was a KrF excimer laser operated at a laser pulse energy of 360 mJ with a 30 ns duration and a pulse rate of 4 Hz. The deposition was carried out at a rate of 10 Å/pulse under an oxygen pressure of 92 mtorr. After 250 pulses, the sample was cooled to room temperature in oxygen at a pressure of 150 torr. After fabrication, these heteroepitaxial structures were characterized by XRD without any additional sample preparation.

X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Rigaku RU-300 diffraction system equipped with a thin-film diffractometer and a pole-figure goniometer. Conventional $\theta$/2$\theta$ scans were collected utilizing the following parameters:

- **Goniometer:** horizontal Bragg-Brentano
- **Radiation:** copper
- **Monochromator:** diffracted beam flat graphite tuned to CuK$\alpha$
- **Detector:** scintillation
- **Slits:** I-1/2° divergence, II-1° scatter, III-0.3 mm receiving, IV-0.6 mm detector
- **Soller slits:** horizontal used after slit I, parallel between slits II and III
- **Scan type:** $\theta$/2$\theta$ coupled
- **Scan range:** 5-70°
- **Step size:** 0.01°
- **Count time:** 2 s/step

Phase identification and qualitative planar orientation were evaluated using the Powder Diffraction File [7].

Planar orientation was quantitated for the thin films using rocking curve analysis. With the diffractometer mentioned above, samples were scanned across $\theta$ ($\omega$scan) while the detector was held fixed at 20. The 20 was predetermined using the $\theta$/2$\theta$ coupled scan above. For this diffractometer a single crystal silicon wafer has a rocking curve full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 0.20° $\theta$.

To evaluate in-plane orientation of these thin films relative to the sapphire substrate, pole figures were collected utilizing the following conditions:
Results

In Fig. 1, the θ/2θ diffraction pattern for the LiTaO₃/MgO/Pt/α-Al₂O₃ structure is shown. This diffraction pattern is characterized by the presence of (0006) LiTaO₃, (111) MgO, (111) Pt, and (0006) α-Al₂O₃. No additional diffraction peaks were observed. The rocking curve FWHM values in Table 1 indicate that the planar orientation is very good for all film layers. The quality of the LiTaO₃ film planar orientation was also verified by ion channeling analysis which observed a channeling minimum yield of about 0.25.

The planar orientation revealed in Fig. 1, should result in three-fold symmetry pole figure patterns for the LiTaO₃, MgO, Pt, and α-Al₂O₃ components of this heteroepitaxial structure, assuming that each component is epitaxially aligned with no twinning. This proposed threefold symmetry is a consequence of the crystal lattice type and the planar orientation of each phase. In the case of LiTaO₃ and α-Al₂O₃, (0001) planar orientation (based on hexagonal

Table 1 X-ray diffraction data for LiTaO₃/MgO/Pt(0001) α-Al₂O₃

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Lattice type</th>
<th>Planar orientation</th>
<th>Rocking curve FWHM (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiTaO₃</td>
<td>Trigonal</td>
<td>(0001)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>(111)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>(111)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Trigonal</td>
<td>(0001)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determination of which lattice plane and tilt angle to collect pole-figure data, for each thin film layer as well as the sapphire substrate, was based on the crystal symmetry, lattice constants, and planar orientation of each phase. For LiTaO₃ the (01̅12) plane (α’=33.0°), for MgO the (200) plane (α’=45.0°), for Pt the (200) plane (α’=45.0°) and for α-Al₂O₃ the (01̅12) plane (α’=32.4°) were selected, respectively.

![Fig 1 Selected range diffraction pattern for LiTaO₃/MgO/Pt(0001) α-Al₂O₃ multilayer sample (M-(111) MgO, L-(0006) LiTaO₃, P-(111)Pt, A-(0006) α-Al₂O₃).](image1)

![Fig. 2 Pole figures for LiTaO₃/MgO/Pt(0001) α-Al₂O₃ multilayer sample: (a) (01̅12) LiTaO₃, (b) (200) MgO, (c) (200) Pt, (d) (01̅12) α-Al₂O₃.](image2)
indexing), is equivalent to (111) planar orientation when indexed on the true trigonal symmetry of these two phases. In the case of MgO and Pt, the observed planar orientation is cubic (111). Pole figures in Fig. 2 reveal good in-plane alignment but all films are highly twinned. The pole figure for sapphire, Fig. 2(d), shows the expected three pole densities whereas LiTaO$_3$, Fig. 2(a), MgO, Fig. 2(b), and Pt, Fig. 2(c) show six pole densities each indicative of in-plane twinning. As seen in Fig. 3, a plot of intensity versus azimuth angle reveals that LiTaO$_3$ is equally aligned with the sapphire substrate, A alignment, and 180° rotated from the wafer, B alignment (Note that the azimuth intensities vary for this sample. This variability is due to the 0.1° azimuth step size which is the minimum step size on the pole figure instrument used in this study. For highly crystalline, high quality epitaxial thin films a 0.01° azimuth step size is necessary for a more accurate assessment of total intensity). Based on the pole density alignment for each phase, the in-plane epitaxial relationships can be determined by referring to stereographic projections [91 and by studying 2-dimensional maps of atomic positions. In Fig. 4, the (0001) α-Al$_2$O$_3$, and (111) Pt lattice plane maps are shown to illustrate where atom overlap can occur. The aluminum and platinum atoms have a similar framework which allows epitaxial growth to take place. When deposited on α-Al$_2$O$_3$ the Pt atoms can be arranged such that the Pt [101] direction is aligned with α-Al$_2$O$_3$ [1100] A alignment (Al(+) above the (0001) plane) or [1100] (Al(-) below the (0001) plane) which is the reason twinning is observed in the Pt layer. As a result of the Pt film being twinned, MgO can grow twinned, followed by LiTaO$_3$ which can grow twinned on MgO. Combining the planar and in-plane orientation results, the complete epitaxial relationship of this heteroepitaxial structure is found to be (0001)[1100] LiTaO$_3$/[110][110] MgO/(111)[110] Pt/(0001) [1100] α-Al$_2$O$_3$.

Optical propagation loss (OPL) is used as a measure of the usefulness of a device for electro-

![Fig. 3 Azimuth angle vs. Intensity for (a) (0112) α-Al$_2$O$_3$ at a tilt angle of 32.4° and (b) (0112) LiTaO$_3$ at a tilt angle of 33.0°. Azimuth plot data obtained from pole figures in Fig. 2.](image)

![Fig. 4 Top view of (a) (0001) α-Al$_2$O$_3$ and (b) (111) Pt planes, showing the in-plane alignment of [1000] a-Al2O3 and [110] Pt (Al(+)) above the (0001) plane, Al(-) below the (0001) plane.](image)
optical devices. For the LiTaO$_3$/MgO/Pt/$\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$ device described here, the measured loss was 24 dB/cm. To be acceptable for practical applications, the OPL should be < 1 dB/cm. Additional deposition studies found that depositing thicker films of LiTaO$_3$ and MgO along with a thinner film of Pt did result in some reduction in OPL. However, these changes in deposition resulted in peeling and cracking of the films. A careful study revealed that peeling was attributed primarily to poor adherence of Pt to $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$ and cracking was partially due to the substantially larger thermal expansion of LiTaO$_3$ when compared to $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$. Current studies are looking into replacing $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$ with LiNbO$_3$ and using a thin Ti (titanium) film (~40 Å) as an adhesion layer between the LiNbO$_3$ substrate and Pt.

**Conclusion**

Heteroepitaxial structures comprised of LiTaO$_3$/MgO/Pt/$\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$ have been fabricated using e-beam and laser ablation deposition techniques. X-ray diffraction methods have been shown to be important in understanding microstructural properties in these structures. Highly oriented crystalline films were obtained which have been demonstrated to function as waveguides. However, high optical propagation losses and film integrity problems were experienced which will require more materials development before these structures can be used in electro-optical applications.
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